



LLG Performance Assessment

LLG Name: Agule Subcounty

Pallisa District

(Vote Code: 919)

Assessment	Scores
LLG Performance Assessment	33%

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures				
1	<p>The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.</p>	<p>PDCs formed and files available with names of the PDC members</p> <p>Minutes of PDCs not available</p> <p>List of proposals submitted - Dairy, Citrus, fish farming etc</p> <p>The PDCs did nit participate in approval of proposals</p>	0
2	<p>LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.</p>	<p>There is no evidence of data analysis</p>	0

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0</p>	<p>No evidence of NGOs/CBOs mapping</p>	0
	<p>Maximum score is 6</p>			
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0</p>	<p>There is no evidence of guidance by the LLG to PDCs and Village</p>	0
	<p>Maximum score is 6</p>			
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There is no evidence</p>	0
	<p>Maximum score is 6</p>			

B. Planning and Budgeting

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was linkage between the Dev't plan and the approved Budget . Page 56 of the Dev't plan and the sector of works show linkage for planned projeccts - Oigomojong raod - Orikosio road, and opening of Kateki- Midodi raod are highlighted in both documents.</p>	1
	<p>Maximum score is 6</p>			
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.</p>	<p>There is no evidence</p>	0
	<p>Maximum score is 6</p>			
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>No evidence of a budget conference</p>	0
	<p>Maximum score is 6</p>			

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>The LLG Gov't Budget indicates projects to be financed by the LowerLocal Government as indicated on Page 56 of the Budget</p>	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>There is no evidence of project project profiles</p>	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There is no evidence of submission of the Budget by the Lower Local Government</p>	0

5	<p>Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>There is no evidence of procurement work plan submissions</p>	0
6	<p>Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>The projects executed include Infrastructure projects - Construction of the Administration block and 2 stance Latrine at the subcounty Headquarters, 2 stance Pit latrine at Pasis P/S, and 2 stance Pit latrine at the Agule Town Council</p>	2

C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	<p>LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)</p> <p>Maximum score is 1</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.</p>	<p>OSR Budgeted FY 2021/2022 = 2,353,936, Actual realised = 1,872,291. %age collected = 16.9</p>	1
8	<p>Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.</p> <p>Maximum score 1</p>	<p>Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>OSR FY 2020/2021 Actuals = 803,468, OSR FY 2021/2022 = 895,077</p> <p>= $91,609/803,468 \times 100 = 11.4\%$. The OSR increased by 11.4%</p>	1

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	No evidence of remittance to Administrative Units , No Budget lines opened for that Purpose	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	Information not availed.	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	There was no evidence of Operation and Maintenance carried out, and there was Budget line item in the Budget	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	There was no evidence displayed	0

D. Financial Management

10	<p>The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0</p>	No evidence of submission.	0
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0</p>	There is no evidence of submissions	0
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0</p>	There is no evidence of submissions	0

11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There is no evidence of submissions</p>	0
----	--	--	--	----------

11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0</p>	<p>There is no evidence of submissions</p>	0
----	--	--	--	----------

E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There is no evidence of submissions</p>	0
----	---	--	--	----------

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:	There is no evidence of appraisal seen	0
	Maximum score is 6	(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0		

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:	There is no evidence of appraisals	0
	Maximum score is 6	(iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else		

13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	Staff publicised on the Noticeboards	3
	Maximum score is 6	(i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	staff attendance registers are in place and endorsed daily	

13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	There is no evidence of staff attendance Analysis	0
	Maximum score is 6	(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0		

F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	No information was availed.	0
	Maximum score is 2			

15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2	No information was availed	0
16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) : If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3 If 70% -90%: Score 2 If less than 70 %: Score 0.	all planned investment were completed: Administration office block, 3, 2 stance pit latrines completed (2 stance pit latrine at the subcounty Headquarters, 2 stance Pit latrine at Agule Town Council, and 2 stance Pit latrine at Pasia Primary school.	3

G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	copies of the Environmental and Social Screening (E&S) reports available and Signed off by District Natural Resources officer , seen on file and were funded by the subcounty on Vr 03/03/2021 and amount spent Uganda shillings 3,500,000 seen on file.	2
----	--	--	--	---

18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feedback, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	There is no system in place and there is no evidence to that effect	0
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	There is no evidence available	0
19	The LLG has a functional land management system Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	Area land committee constituted and evidence of appointments on file, but no evidence of functionality seen(No minutes seen)	0

H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	No evidence seen	0
----	---	---	------------------	---

21	<p>Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:</p> <p>If all schools (100%) - score 4</p> <p>If 80 – 99% – score 2</p> <p>If 60 to 79% score 1</p> <p>Below 60% score 0</p>	No evidence seen	0
----	--	--	------------------	----------

22	<p>Existence and functionality of School Management Committees</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0</p>	No evidence seen	0
----	--	---	------------------	----------

I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23	<p>Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0</p>	<p>A report on health promotion activities conducted in agule HCIII dated on 5/4/2022 compiled by Omuut Isaac (Health Assistant).</p>	3
----	---	--	---	----------

24	<p>The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0</p>	<p>The subcounty has no facility in place, the health center III was curved out into the Town Council which was created from Agule - subcounty.</p>	4
25	<p>Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0</p>	<p>There is Health facility in the subcounty - The Health III-Agule HC III is located in the new Town Council which was curved out of Agule subcounty</p>	3

J. Water & Environment Services Management

26	<p>Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0</p>	<p>No evidence of request seen</p>	0
----	---	---	------------------------------------	---

27	<p>The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0</p>	<p>Monitoring reports on water sources available on file. Reports seen filed by Parish chiefs are dated: 17th Nov 2021 by Parish Chief Ariet parish, report dated 26th/Nov2021 from Kachinga Parish, report dated 17th Nov, Report dated 17th/Nov 2021 , Minutes dated 22ndNov 2021 for water user committees, minutes dated 23rd/Nov 2021 with user committees seen and endorsed by the parish chiefs</p>	3
28	<p>Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0</p>	<p>Functionality of Water committees seen , evidenced by minutes dated: 16Nov 2021 conducted in Kareau Village, and minute dated 17/Nov/2021- Kinomu Borehole</p>	2
29	<p>Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0</p>	<p>No evidence seen</p>	0

K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)

30 Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines
Maximum score 2 (i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0

30 Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines
Maximum score 2 (i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:
20% in 2022/23
30% in 2023/24
40% in 2024/25

31 Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines
Maximum score 3 (i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0

31 Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines
Maximum score 3 (ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0

31 Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines (iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

32 The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan (i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 2

32 The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan (ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 2

33 Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure (i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 3

33
 Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure
 Maximum score is 3
 (ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0

33
 Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure
 Maximum score is 3
 (iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0

L. Production Services Management

34
 Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported
 Maximum score is 2
 If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.
 Reports were evident, and these include reports on crops, cattle, goats, sheep and poultry dated 30/9/2021 compiled by Osako Maxwell the Assistant Agricultural Officer **2**

35
 Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings
 Maximum score is 2
 If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0
 There is a report on awareness creation by the AAO of Agule Subcounty on file, dated 20/8/2021, but there is no evidence of submission to the LG Production Office **0**

36	<p>The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence of monitoring reports</p>	0
37	<p>Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.</p>	<p>Training report available dated 20/8/2021 with training program, Training reports and Attendance sheets</p>	2
38	<p>The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was no evidence seen</p>	0