



LLG Performance Assessment

LLG Name: Olok Subcounty

Pallisa District

(Vote Code: 919)

Assessment	Scores
LLG Performance Assessment	34%

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures				
1	<p>The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.</p>	<p>PDCs formed for all the parishes</p> <p>Odwarat PDC has Ocoto Joseph Ogwang as chairperson and Tabikuka samuel as secretary .Minutes dated 21/2/2022 and 20/2/2022.</p> <p>Apapa PDC formed ,minutes on file dated 5/2/2022,17/2/2022 and 17/5/2022.</p> <p>Olok PDC formed , with minutes dated 19/2/2022</p> <p>Ngalwe PDC formed with Kasabi Yowasi as chairperson and Omocho Vicent as secretary.</p> <p>Report on community awareness raising on PDM dated 17/2/2022 held at osonga ps.</p>	2
2	<p>LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.</p>	<p>No evidence</p>	0

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0</p>	No evidence	0
	<p>Maximum score is 6</p>			
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0</p>	No evidence	0
	<p>Maximum score is 6</p>			
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0</p>	No evidence	0
	<p>Maximum score is 6</p>			

B. Planning and Budgeting

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0</p>	No evidence	0
	<p>Maximum score is 6</p>			
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.</p>	No evidence	0
	<p>Maximum score is 6</p>			
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0</p>	No evidence	0
	<p>Maximum score is 6</p>			

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>No evidence</p>	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>No evidence</p>	0
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>No evidence</p>	0

5	Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	No evidence	0
---	--	--	-------------	---

6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	No evidence	0
---	---	--	-------------	---

C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization) Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	The LLG budgeted 1,470,000 and actual 947,000 $947,000/1,470,000*100$ 64.4% which is below -10%	0
---	--	---	---	---

8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year. Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	The actual revenue for 2020/21 was 1,700,000 and 2021/22 was 947,000 and this brought a decline of 44% $1,700,000-947,000 = 753,000$ $753,000/1,700,000*100$ 44%	0
---	---	---	---	---

9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.</p>	<p>No Evidence</p>	0
9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0</p>	<p>No Evidence</p>	0
9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0</p>	<p>No Evidence</p>	0
9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.</p>	<p>No Evidence</p>	0

D. Financial Management

10	<p>The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0</p>	<p>Final Accounts were prepared and submitted to office of Auditor General - Mbale by 30/8/2022</p>	4
	<p>Maximum score is 4</p>			
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>No Evidence</p>	0
	<p>Maximum score is 6</p>			
11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>No Evidence</p>	0
	<p>Maximum score is 6</p>			

11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0</p>	No Evidence	0
----	--	--	-------------	---

11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0</p>	No Evidence	0
----	--	--	-------------	---

E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0</p>	No Evidence	0
----	---	--	-------------	---

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:	No Evidence	0
	Maximum score is 6	(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0		
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:	No Evidence	0
	Maximum score is 6	(iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else		
13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	The staff list is publicized on the notice board and attendance register in place	3
	Maximum score is 6	(i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0		
13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	Staff attendance monthly analysis done with recommendations to CAO	3
	Maximum score is 6	(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	Evidence of reports dated 1/06/2022, 1/07/2022, 1/08/2022 and 1/09/2022	

F. Implementation and Execution

14	<p>The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0</p>	No Evidence	0
15	<p>The LLG spent the funds as per budget</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2</p>	No Evidence	0
16	<p>Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :</p> <p>If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3</p> <p>If 70% -90%: Score 2</p> <p>If less than 70 %: Score 0.</p>	No Evidence	0

G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	Environmental and social screening was done on the following projects construction of administration block, installation of a gate at olok HCIII, opening of taguwa to okwi to olok seed school road, fencing of the sub county headquarter, construction of a 2 stance pit latrine at the sub county, completion of verandar and painting of administration block, all signed by samuka muhamed the DNRO	2
	Maximum score is 2			
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	No Evidence	0
	Maximum score is 2			
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	No Evidence	0
	Maximum score is 2			

19	The LLG has a functional land management system	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	Area land committee in place with evidence of appointment letters, Min/PDLG/COU/06/May/2022 dated 26th/May/2022 No evidence of minutes availed	0
	Maximum score 1			

H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	No Evidence	0
	Maximum score is 3			

21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:	No Evidence	0
	Maximum score is 4	If all schools (100%) - score 4 If 80 – 99% – score 2 If 60 to 79% score 1 Below 60% score 0		

22

Existence and functionality of School Management Committees

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0

Apapa primary school has SMC with minutes of a meeting held on 4/2/2022

Odwarat primary school has SMC but no minutes

Olok primary school has SMC with minutes dated 2/8/2021,7/2/2022

Osonga primary school has SMC with minutes 16/5/2022

Ngalwe primary school has SMC with minutes dated 29/8/2022 ,8/8/2022,25/7/2022

0

I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23

Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0

Report on inspection of trading centers, food premises and sensitization of food handlers dated 30th/09/21 signed by Ageet peter, Health assistant olok sub county

3

24	<p>The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0</p>	<p>Monitoring report on hygiene and sanitation, dated 22/09/2021, Report on follow up on triggered villages on sanitation and hygiene dated 16/12/2021, 15/06/2022, 20/12/2021, 19/01/2022, signed by Ageet peter</p> <p>Report on inspection on trading centers, food premises and sensitization of food handlers, dated 24/06/2022 signed by Ageet peter</p> <p>Report on contact tracing and follow up of covid 19 cases, dated 29/12/2021 and signed Ageet peter</p>	4
----	--	--	--	---

25	<p>Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0</p>	<p>Health unit management committee in place chaired by Okalebo peter and Ariko David as secretary</p> <p>Evidenced by minutes dated 26th/06/2022, 20/12/2021, 27/08/2021 signed by the secretary and chairperson of the HUMC</p>	3
----	--	---	---	---

J. Water & Environment Services Management

26	<p>Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0</p>	<p>There is evidence of a request dated 3/1/2022 for Kateki village and was received by DWO on 10/1/2022.</p> <p>Letter confirming allocation of a borehole is dated 19/7/2022 by CAO.</p>	3
----	---	---	--	---

27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	Monitoring report on water sources dated 04/05/22 by Ageet peter, Health assistant Report on water source inspection, monitoring dated 8/12/2021 by Ageet peter	3
28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	No Evidence	0
29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	No Evidence	0

K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)

30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0		
----	--	--	--	--

30 Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines
Maximum score 2 (i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:
20% in 2022/23
30% in 2023/24
40% in 2024/25

31 Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines
Maximum score 3 (i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY:
(i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0

31 Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines
Maximum score 3 (ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0

31 Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines
Maximum score 3 (iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0

32 The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan
Maximum score 2

(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0

32 The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan
Maximum score 2

(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0

33 Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure
Maximum score is 3

(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0

33 Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure
Maximum score is 3

(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0

33 Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure
Maximum score is 3

(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0

L. Production Services Management

34	<p>Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.</p>	<p>Report on crop data, dated 4/10/2021 signed Omio stephen, AO</p> <p>Report on agric statistics and data collection, dated 2/03/2022, signed by Omio stephen</p>	2
35	<p>Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>Report on training of farmer groups on maize on cassava production, dated 17/09/2021, by Omio stephen</p>	2
36	<p>The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>Only one Report on farmers monitoring and evaluation, dated 14/12/2021, by Omio stephen availed for assessment</p>	0

37	<p>Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.</p>	<p>One report on farmer training on aquaculture, dated 21/09/2021, by Omio stephen,</p> <p>No evidence of attendance list and training program</p>	0
38	<p>The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	No Evidence	0